

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

Scientific Drilling International, Inc.,	§	
<i>Plaintiff,</i>	§	
	§	
vs.	§	Civil Action H-06-1634
	§	
Pathfinder Energy Services, <i>et al,</i>	§	
<i>Defendants.</i>	§	

AMENDED ORDER

Plaintiff's motion to compel discovery and request for in camera inspection of documents (Dkt. 61) was referred to this court and argued by counsel at a hearing on January 3, 2007. The various items in dispute were reviewed and ruled upon at that hearing, and this order summarizes those rulings. Plaintiff's motion to compel discovery is GRANTED in part, as indicated below. Plaintiff's request for in camera inspection of privileged documents is DENIED.

The court directs Pathfinder to search for and produce the following documents responsive to plaintiff's requests for production:

1. Any responsive trade secret information such as bid data or customer contacts that may be contained on the hard drives of defendants' and Michael Pfister's computers;
2. E-mails and correspondence pertaining to the hiring or recruiting of SDI employees that may be available on defendants' and Michael Pfister's computers;
3. All contracts or master service agreements with the companies that the individual defendants were substantially involved with, from the date the individual defendants were hired to the present;

4. Consolidated financial data reflecting sales and profits generated from the sites listed in plaintiff's request for production nos. 5-10 and 15;

5. Information regarding bids and responses to requests for proposals submitted by the companies identified in plaintiff's second request for production nos. 16,17, and 18.

Pathfinder is ordered to produce the requested documents, or provide sworn verification by a responsible official that no such documents are within its care, custody or control, by January 22, 2007.

Signed at Houston, Texas on January 5, 2007.



Stephen Wm Smith
United States Magistrate Judge